- 1				
1	GREG COLEMAN LAW Alex R. Straus, Esq. (SBN 321366)			
2	alex@gregcolemanlaw.com William A. Ladnier, Esq. (SBN 330334)			
3	will@gregcolemanlaw.com Greg F. Coleman, Esq.			
4	(admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) greg@gregcolemanlaw.com			
5	Adam Edwards, Esq.			
6	(admitted pro hac vice) adam@gregcolemanlaw.com			
7	800 S. Gay Street, Suite 1100 Knoxville, TN 37929			
8	Tel.: (865) 247-0080 Fax: (865) 522-0049			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
10	[Names and addresses of Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs on Signature Page]			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
	CHRISTOPHER JULIAN, MARK PACANA, PAUL	Case No. 3:20-CV-	02857-FMC	
13	FISKRATTI, and WAYNE LEWALD, on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated,	PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY RE: DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE		
14 15	Plaintiffs,			
16	vs.	SECOND AMENDE COMPLAINT.	ED CLASS ACTION	
17	TTE TECHNOLOGY, INC., dba TCL NORTH	l de	Har Ed and M. Char	
18	AMERICA,	Judge: Crtrm:	Hon. Edward M. Chen 5—17 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
19	Defendant.	Hearing Date: Time:	February 25, 2021 1:30 PM	
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
-~				

PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY RE: DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

CASE NO. 3:20-CV-02857-EMC

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant Plaintiffs leave to file a sur-reply in response to Defendant TTE Technology, Inc.'s ("TTE") reply brief regarding its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Class Action Complaint (the "Motion"). Plaintiffs' proposed sur-reply addresses new evidence that was not available to Plaintiffs at the time they filed their opposition to the Motion. Therasense, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson and Co., 560 F. Supp. 2d 835, 840 n.3 (N.D.Cal. 2008) (granting "motion for leave to file sur-reply and additional new evidence in support of its opposition"); FTC – Forward Threat Control, LLC v. Dominion Harbor Enters., LLC, Case No. 5:19-cv-06590-EJD, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170694 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 16, 2020) (granting motion for leave to file a sur-reply in opposition to a motion to dismiss regarding discovery not produced until after briefing on the motion was completed). A copy of Plaintiffs' proposed sur-reply is attached hereto as **Attachment 1**.

Plaintiffs recognize that the hearing on TTE's Motion, scheduled for Thursday, February 25, is before the four-day response time provided by Civil Local Rule 7-11. However, in preparing for oral argument on TTE's Motion, Plaintiffs noted the inconsistencies between TTE's briefing and its discovery responses, and are diligently bringing those to the Court's attention. Plaintiffs also note that per Civil Local Rule 7-11(c), this motion will be "deemed submitted for immediate determination without hearing on the day after the opposition is due," and therefore respectfully request the Court take it into consideration in deciding TTE's Motion.

19

17

18

Dated: February 23, 2020

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 s/Alex R. Straus

## **GREG COLEMAN LAW**

Alex R. Straus, Esq. (SBN 321366) alex@gregcolemanlaw.com William A. Ladnier, Esq. (SBN 330334) will@gregcolemanlaw.com Greg F. Coleman, Esq. (admitted pro hac vice) greg@gregcolemanlaw.com Adam Edwards, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*) adam@gregcolemanlaw.com 800 S. Gay Street, Suite 1100 Knoxville, TN 37929

Tel.: (865) 247-0080

1	CRUEGER DICKINSON LLC
2	Charles J. Crueger, Esq. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
3	cjc@cruegerdickinson.com Erin K. Dickinson, Esq. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
4	ekd@cruegerdickinson.com
5	Ben Kaplan, Esq. (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) bak@cruegerdickinson.com
6	4532 North Oakland Avenue
	Whitefish Bay, WI 53211 Tel.: (414) 210-3868
7	
8	<b>HUDOCK LAW GROUP, S.C.</b> Luke P. Hudock (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
9	lphudock@law-hlg.com
10	P.O. Box 83 Muskego, WI 53150
11	Tel.: (414) 526-4906
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
13	Titterneys for Fidinity jo
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20 27	
28	2 PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY RE: DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE